

| | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/822,088 | MASON, DONALD |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Mitra Aryanpour | 3711 |

All Participants:

(1) Mitra Aryanpour.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Michael Neustel.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 April 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 21, 26 and 27

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The proposed Amendment filed on 4/21/2006 after a final rejection included new claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. As a result proposed claim 27 has been cancelled and the limitations of claim 27 included in claim 26.

Claim 21 has been amended to better define the function of the net.